

Comments for Session: E01

Question: Additional Comments

Additional Comments:

EXCELLENT MEANS TO COMMUNICATE PRACTICAL CONCERNS OF THE CLIENT TO ATTORNEYS.
MUCH NEEDED REINFORCEMENT OF CRITICAL CONCERNS.

Additional Comments:

WOULD HAVE HAD PLAINTIFF &
MEDIATOR / ARBITRATOR ON PANEL

Additional Comments:

EXCELLENT PANEL - INSIGHTFUL PERSPECTIVES
AND PRAGMATIC ADVICE.

Additional Comments:

THE PRESENTATION WAS SOMEWHAT REPETITIVE AND DID NOT EVEN INVOLVE

Additional Comments:

THE PANELISTS WERE EXCELLENT. I APPRECIATED THEIR CANDOR. I WOULD HAVE GIVEN MARION HACK A LARGER SPEAKING ROLE.

Comments for Session: E01

Additional Comments

Additional Comments:

Excellent panel at discussion points

Additional Comments:

A very good panel.

Additional Comments:

The room is too warm.

Comments for Session: E01

Question: What subjects would you like to see addressed in future Construction SuperConferences?

What subjects would you like to see addressed in future Construction SuperConferences?

What do parties look for in a mediator (panel of in-house counsel)
How do I go about selecting an arbitrator (panel both counsel & arbitrator)

What subjects would you like to see addressed in future Construction SuperConferences?

or new topics